



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/598,395	06/20/2000	Michael J. Natan	PSU 00 2182A	6068

7590 03/25/2002
Thomas J Monahan Director
Intellectual Property Office
The Pennsylvania State University
113 Technnology Center 200 Innovation Blvd
University Park, PA 16802-7000

EXAMINER

MARSCHER, ARDIN H

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1631

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/598,395

Applicant(s)

Natan et al.

Examiner

Ardin Marschel

Group Art Unit

1631



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ardin Marschel (Exr)

(3) _____

(2) Darla York (App. Rep.)

(4) _____

Date of Interview Mar 22, 2002Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed:

N/AAgreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Ms. York had called asking why the drawings were indicated as unacceptable in the communication, mailed 12/31/01, from the PTO. Exr. Marschel called Ms. York today to inform her that the drawings were resubmitted for Draftsman review and had passed as acceptable. Therefore, no further Drawing corrections are required. A First Action on the Merits will now proceed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached


ARDIN H. MARSCHEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.